

Efficacy of Oral Habit Amendment in Neck Pain Treatment

F. Agha-Hosseini ¹, I. Mirzaii-Dizgah ², Sh. Shirazian ³, E. Mostajir ⁴.

¹ Professor, Dental Research Center, Dentistry Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, AND Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Medicine, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Professor, Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, AJA University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ Assistant Professor, Dental Research Center, Dentistry Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, AND Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Medicine, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴ Postgraduate Student, Department of Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Background and Aim: Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) can be associated with pain in other areas such as the neck. Multiple causes have been suggested for TMDs; parafunctional habits such as bruxism and clenching are among the most commonly suggested etiologies. This study aimed to assess the efficacy of the amendment of oral parafunctional habits in the improvement of TMDs and neck pain.

Materials and Methods: This before-and-after interventional study was conducted on 136 patients with TMDs, parafunctional habits, and neck pain. The patients were instructed on how to quit their parafunctional habits and were followed up for two months. The neck pain disability index (NPDI), anamnestic dysfunction index (Ai), and clinical dysfunction index (Di) of TMD were evaluated before and after the modification of parafunctional habits. Data were analyzed using paired Student's t-test, Wilcoxon, Mann-U-Whitney, Kruskal-Wallis, and Spearman tests. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results: Most TMD patients were women (74.5%). The patients' scores significantly decreased from 4 to 1 in the Ai, from 8 to 1 in the Di, and from 49 to 25 in the NPDI two months after the intervention ($P < 0.05$). There were no significant differences in the NPDI, Ai, and Di scores following the amendment of oral parafunctional habits between males and females or among different age ranges. Age did not significantly correlate with the changes in the NPDI, Ai, and Di scores after the improvement of oral parafunctional habits. The NPDI significantly correlated with the Ai score.

Conclusion: It seems that parafunctional habit modification improves TMDs and neck pain.

Key Words: Neck Pain, Bruxism, Temporomandibular Joint Disorders

✉ Corresponding author:
F. Agha-Hosseini, Professor,
Dental Research Center,
Dentistry Research Institute,
Tehran University of Medical
Sciences, Tehran, Iran, AND
Department of Oral and
Maxillofacial Medicine, School
of Dentistry, Tehran University
of Medical Sciences, Tehran,
Iran

aghahose@sina.tums.ac.ir

Received: 29 Aug 2017

Accepted: 11 May 2018

➤ Cite this article as: Agha-Hosseini F, Mirzaii-Dizgah I, Shirazian Sh, Mostajir E. Efficacy of Oral Habit Amendment in Neck Pain Treatment. *J Islam Dent Assoc Iran*. 2018; 30(3):90-99. DOI: 10.30699/JIsdreir.30.3.90

Introduction

Pain can be a symptom of a serious problem. Patients complaining of maxillofacial pains comprise a large portion of individuals presenting to oral medicine departments. These pains mostly have a dental origin. Among non-odontogenic pains, the pain of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is common. Temporomandibular disorders

(TMDs) refer to all the disorders related to the masticatory system, and they are often associated with pain [1-3].

Half to one-third of adults report at least one symptom of TMDs, and more than half of them manifest one clinical symptom, which is often muscle tenderness [4-6]. Coordination of the central nervous system (CNS) with the

musculoskeletal system is necessary for natural movements, the ability to bear loads, and protect the system from injury or trauma. Any factor that disrupts this coordination can impair the function of the neurosensory and motor systems and can result in poor articular control and abnormal movements [4-6].

Many studies have evaluated the etiology of TMJ diseases and mainly believe that TMDs are multifactorial [7]. TMDs may be related to malocclusion, parafunctional habits, stress, or trauma; however, the share of each of these factors in the development of TMDs has yet to be determined [8-12].

Evidence shows that psychological stress can increase parafunctional habits or non-physiological activities such as clenching and bruxism [4,5]. Parafunctional habits are the activities of the masticatory system that have no functional goal and play no role in mastication, deglutition, or speech. Parafunctional habits apply an excessive load to the masticatory system and consequently damage it. In stressful conditions, energy is generated in the body, which must be released in some way. Thus, stress not only causes TMD but also aggravates it via triggering parafunctional habits [4,5]. Each component of the masticatory system can bear the excess load to a certain extent due to the increased activity of the muscles. When these loads exceed the critical threshold, damage and destruction occur. When the weakest components of the masticatory system are the muscles of mastication, patients commonly complain of pain and tenderness of these muscles during jaw movements. This manifests as a limitation in mandibular movements due to pain. When the TMJs are the weakest components, pain and tenderness of these joints will occur. It has been shown that 89.3% of the patients had anxiety disorders associated with high muscle tone and pain [11-14].

Most epidemiologic studies on TMDs are descriptive, while longitudinal studies on TMDs are scarce. Thus, the incidence rate of TMDs has been often underestimated. Different percentages of TMD prevalence with variable clinical and non-clinical symptoms have been reported worldwide [15-17].

The first study on TMDs and their association with neck pain was conducted in 1976; this association has been confirmed in numerous studies [18,19]. In 2014, Walczyńska-Dragon et al [20] also confirmed the relationship of TMDs with body imbalance and neck pain.

Spinal cord pain is also common and is mainly due to musculoskeletal disorders. Most individuals have experienced this pain at least once in their lifetime and thus, it is considered as a normal part of the human life cycle [21]. In general, the spinal cord is a dynamic and unstable structure that is subjected to numerous stresses as well as internal and external pressures on a daily basis. Thus, it can be easily subjected to injury resulting in neck pain. According to the Panjabi's stability mode, this stabilizing system has three parts, ensuring segmental and global stability: (I) a passive part including the vertebrae, intervertebral disc, ligaments, and articular capsule; (II) an active part, which includes local muscles and global muscles, and (III) a neural control system [21].

In most cases, the main pathophysiological mechanism responsible for neck pain remains unrecognized. However, it is believed that neck pain is multifactorial [22]. Any problem in the biomechanics of muscles and joints can result in improper adaptive responses of the CNS. Also, studies show that neck pain mainly originates from the vertebrae, articular surfaces, muscles, ligaments, and intervertebral discs [23].

The prevalence of neck pain is increasing worldwide. This condition has numerous effects on the patients and their family, health system, and occupation. The mean prevalence of neck pain is 23.1% in the community, and it is more common among females [24]. Evidence shows that the type of occupation, a sedentary lifestyle with head in a flexed position, jobs with unsuitable physical conditions, unsuitable psychological conditions, job dissatisfaction, and cigarette smoking can all result in neck pain [24]. Also, TMDs have been reported to be among the causes of neck pain [18-20].

Since most patients presenting to oral medicine departments complain of TMDs, parafunctional habits, and sometimes neck pain due to muscle spasm, this study aimed to assess the efficacy of

the amendment of parafunctional habits in the improvement of TMDs and neck pain.

Materials and Methods

The present study was a before-and-after clinical trial (IRCT201410091559). During 2012-2014, 320 patients presenting to the Oral Medicine Department of the School of Dentistry of Tehran University of Medical Sciences with maxillofacial pains (other than odontogenic pain) were examined, out of which, 275 were diagnosed with TMDs together with parafunctional habits according to the Helkimo's index [25]. Also, the patients and their companions were questioned about parafunctional habits such as bruxism and clenching. Of 275 patients, 226 also had neck pain according to the neck pain disability index (NPDI) [26]. Patients were asked about the history of using analgesics. Those with a history of neck trauma or under regular pharmaceutical therapy were excluded. Subjects with TMDs, parafunctional habits, and neck pain were chosen. The study protocol was thoroughly explained to the patients, and those willing to participate signed a written informed consent form.

Patients should quit their parafunctional habits in order for their maxillofacial pains to resolve. Thus, the patients were instructed to place their tongue behind their maxillary central incisors such that the tongue applied no pressure to oral and dental tissues (as when the letter N is pronounced). In this position, the distance between the upper and lower teeth must be a few millimeters, and the lips must be closed with minimal pressure. In this position, the freeway space is maintained. To naturalize this position, the patients were requested to set an alarm on their cell phones to remind them every five minutes to keep this position. Moreover, two text messages were sent to the patients weekly to remind them to maintain the freeway space.

The patients were examined again after one and two months, and the level of improvement of TMDs and the intensity and frequency of neck pain were assessed. During the study period, patients starting a new treatment other than the current one, those not receiving the reminders, and patients developing conditions affecting the intensity of their neck pain were excluded.

A total of 136 patients (90 females and 46 males)

in the age range of 18 to 60 years remained in the study until the end of the intervention.

The TMDs affecting the patients were assessed through clinical examination and using the Helkimo's index [25] by taking medical and dental history as well as the occupational and social status of the patients along with the patient's age and clinical TMJ and muscle examinations.

The TMJ status was assessed by asking eight subjective questions (the anamnestic dysfunction index, Ai) regarding joint stiffness when waking up or during mandibular movements, joint noises just anterior to the ear, fatigue in the TMJs, discomfort when opening the mouth, history of jaw locking, pain in the TMJs or the muscles of mastication, pain when moving the mandible, and history of dislocation.

In objective examinations (the clinical dysfunction index, Di), the followings were assessed:

Assessment of the range of mandibular movements in the below-mentioned positions:

- The maximum mouth opening was measured from the incisal edge of the upper to that of the lower incisors using a graded tongue blade. In patients with deep-bite (overbite of more than 2 mm), the excess amount was added to the measured value. If the patient had an open bite (overbite less than 2 mm), this value was subtracted from the obtained number.

- Patients with a maximum opening more than 40 mm were scored zero, those with 30 to 39 mm maximum opening were scored one, and those with a maximum opening less than 30 mm were scored five.

- Measuring the maximum lateral (right and left) movements: For this purpose, the distance between the upper and lower midlines was measured using a graded tongue blade (before the measurement, the coincidence of the upper and lower midlines was evaluated). Maximum lateral movement over 7 mm was scored zero, lateral movement between 4 to 6 mm was scored one, and lateral movement between 0 to 3 mm was scored five.

- Measurement of the maximum protrusion: A graded tongue blade was used to measure the distance between the incisal edge of the maxillary incisors and that of the mandibular incisors in the horizontal dimension and in the maximum

protrusion. In class III patients, the amount of the primary protrusion was subtracted from the amount measured during the maximum protrusion. In class II patients, the amount of retrusion was added to the amount measured during the maximum protrusion. Maximum protrusion over 7 mm was scored zero, protrusion between 4 to 6 mm was scored one, and protrusion between 0 to 3 mm was scored five.

Assessment of mouth opening pattern:

The straight pattern was allocated a score of zero, jaw deviation to the right or left without returning to its normal position was given a score of one, and jaw deviation to the right or left with returning to the straight position was given a score of five.

Assessment of joint function:

The function of the joint was considered normal if the movements of the mandible were noise-free with smaller than 2 mm of deviation; this was scored zero. Moderate TMD was diagnosed based on mandibular movements with noises as well as more than 2 mm of deviation (score one). Patients were diagnosed with severe TMD in case of the presence of locking and luxation (score five).

Assessment of muscle tenderness:

- "No pain" was scored zero, "pain in one to three muscles" was scored one, and "pain in four muscles or more" was scored five.

- Muscles were palpated by fingertips with a gradually increasing pressure. The patients were requested to express whether they felt any difference in sensation between the two sides. The reaction of the muscles upon irritation was also assessed. The masseter, temporalis, medial and lateral pterygoids, digastric, mylohyoid, sternocleidomastoid (SCM), and trapezius muscles were examined.

Assessment of TMJ tenderness:

- The patients were assigned to three groups of no pain (score zero), pain during lateral palpation (score one), and pain during posterior palpation (score five).

- Lateral palpation was started anterior to the tragus, while posterior palpation of the joint was performed from inside the ear. The pain during lateral palpation has a muscular origin, while the pain during posterior palpation probably originates from the articular tissues.

Articular pain during mandibular movements:

The patients were divided into three groups of no pain in any movement (score zero), pain in one movement (score one), and pain in two or more movements (score five).

The assessment of neck pain was subjective, and the presence of neck pain (muscle spasm) was determined using the NPDI and following counseling with a neurologist [26]. The frequency of pain and the aggravating or relieving factors were also asked. The NPDI questionnaire contains 20 questions on factors affecting neck pain [26]. The patients answered each question based on a 0-10 scale. The patient selected one score depending on his/her status. Higher scores indicated worsening of the questioned condition.

Participants with the following exclusion criteria during the two-month period were excluded: Changes in regular pharmaceutical regimen and initiation of a new treatment regimen other than the protocol, and patients who did not receive the text messages and did not adhere to the self-management instructions for any reason.

Data collection tools:

The Ai included questions regarding the subjective symptoms of articular disorders.

The Di included clinical examination and the measurement of mandibular movements as well as palpation of the muscles and joints.

The occlusal index (OI) included the assessment of the teeth and occlusion. In this method, a score was allocated to each sign/symptom of TMD. Accordingly, TMD was classified as normal, mild, moderate, or severe. Also, to determine the severity of neck pain, the NPDI questionnaire was used, and the site of neck pain, its frequency, time of onset, aggravating factors, history of trauma, the dosage of analgesics, and the frequency of taking over-the-counter (OTC) pain medications were asked.

Sample size calculation:

Based on the results of a pilot study on 24 patients, in 20 cases, the numerical scale decreased by more than 2 units after the intervention. Thus, considering $\alpha=5\%$ and $d=7\%$, the minimum required sample size was calculated to be 135 using the Minitab sample size calculation software (version 15, Minitab Inc., State College, PA, USA). It should be noted that the required sample size to detect statistically significant differences

after the intervention was slightly lower than this rate.

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS software (version 16; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were statistically analyzed using paired Student's t-test (pre- and post-intervention mandibular mobility ranges), Wilcoxon test (pre- and post-intervention NPDI, Ai, and Di scores), Mann-U-Whitney test (comparison of changes in the NPDI, Ai, and Di scores between males and females), Kruskal-Wallis test (comparison of changes in the NPDI, Ai and Di scores among different age ranges), and Spearman test (the correlation between the parameters). $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

The effect of the amendment of oral parafunctional

habits on the NPDI, Ai, and Di scores is presented in Table 1. The NPDI, Ai, and Di scores significantly decreased following oral parafunctional habit modification ($P < 0.05$).

Table 2 shows the efficacy of the amendment of oral parafunctional habits in terms of the mandibular mobility ranges; they significantly increased after the amendment ($P < 0.05$).

There were no significant differences in the changes of the NPDI, Ai, and Di scores following oral parafunctional habit modification between males and females (Table 3) or among different age ranges (Table 4).

Age did not significantly correlate with the changes in the NPDI and Ai scores following the amendment of oral parafunctional habits. The NPDI score significantly correlated with the Ai score (Table 5).

Table 1. Comparison of neck pain disability index (NPDI), anamnestic dysfunction index (Ai), and clinical dysfunction index (Di) before and after oral parafunctional habit modification (intervention)

Parameter	Pre-intervention	Post-intervention	P-value
	N(%)	N(%)	
NPDI	49 (22)	25 (33)	0.0001*
Ai	4 (2)	1 (1)	0.0001*
Di	8 (10)	1 (1)	0.0001*

Data are expressed as median (interquartile range); *=Significant according to Wilcoxon test ($P < 0.05$).

Table 2. Comparison of the mandibular mobility range (mm) before and after oral parafunctional habit modification (intervention)

Parameter	Pre-intervention	Post-intervention	P-value
Maximum mouth opening	34.49±0.54	38.99±0.42	0.0001*
Maximum right lateral movement	6.82±0.12	7.33±0.09	0.0001*
Maximum left lateral movement	6.71±0.13	7.36±0.09	0.0001*
Maximum protrusion	6.75±0.11	7.26±0.08	0.0001*

Data are expressed as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM); *=Significant according to paired Student's t-test ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3. Comparison of neck pain disability index (NPDI), anamnestic dysfunction index (Ai), and clinical dysfunction index (Di) changes after oral parafunctional habit modification (intervention) between males and females; Wilcoxon test ($P < 0.05$)

Parameter	Female N(%)	Male N(%)	P-value
NPDI	25 (33)	26 (31)	0.459
Ai	3 (2)	3 (2)	0.269
Di	6 (9.25)	5 (9)	0.364

Data are expressed as median (interquartile range)

Table 4. Comparison of neck pain disability index (NPDI), anamnestic dysfunction index (Ai), and clinical dysfunction index (Di) changes according to age after oral parafunctional habit modification (intervention); Kruskal-Wallis test ($P < 0.05$)

Parameter	Age range (year)						P-value
	10-19 N(%)	20-29 N(%)	30-39 N(%)	40-49 N(%)	50-59 N(%)	60-69 N(%)	
NPDI	4 (35)	27 (31)	26 (20)	23 (30)	36 (16)	21 (46)	0.055
Ai	2 (1)	3 (2)	3 (1)	3 (1)	4 (4)	2 (1)	0.469
Di	3 (11)	6 (10)	7 (8)	5 (9)	7 (20)	7 (10)	0.941

Data are expressed as median (interquartile range)

Table 5. Correlation between age, neck pain disability index (NPDI), anamnestic dysfunction index (Ai), and clinical dysfunction index (Di) changes after oral parafunctional habit modification (intervention)

	NPDI	Ai	Di
Age	$r = -0.03$; $P = 0.726$	$r = -0.006$; $P = 0.94$	$r = 0.024$; $P = 0.782$
NPDI		$r = 0.221$; $P = 0.01^*$	$r = 0.13$; $P = 0.132$
Ai			$r = 0.501$; $P = 0.0001^*$

*=Significant

Discussion

TMDs include a wide range of clinical problems with variable etiologies, history, and prognoses, affecting the muscles of mastication, TMJs, or both [2,3]. TMDs are often associated with pain, which may manifest as acute pain during the function of the jaws. The main symptoms of TMDs include

pain and tenderness of the TMJs or the muscles of mastication, limited jaw movements, jaw deviation when opening or closing the mouth, and TMJ noises during jaw movements [27].

Back pain (neck, shoulder, and back) and TMDs affect motor systems; however, a correlation between the two has not yet been identified.

Nevertheless, several studies have reported the correlation between TMDs and neck pain in different populations [28]. In 2014, Silveira et al [28] used a questionnaire to assess TMDs and neck muscle tenderness. They reported that the prevalence of TMDs was significantly higher in craniofacial patients and those with neck pain [28]. Another study was conducted in 2014 on 60 TMD patients with cervical spinal pain using an occlusal splint with a three-month follow-up [20]; a significant association was found between the resolution of TMDs and decreased pain in the cervical spinal area as well as improved function [20].

Plesh et al [29] evaluated a number of personal complaints of neck trauma and its effect on TMD and muscle pain in a national health interview survey (NHIS). They reported that the incidence of TMDs increased with age, irrespective of gender, and had the greatest association with other common pains; they added that this condition is rarely seen alone [29]. In 2009, Wiesinger et al [30] assessed 616 patients using a questionnaire and reported that TMD and spinal pains may have the same risk factors and mutual effects.

Rantala et al [31] assessed the prevalence of TMDs and their correlation with neck pain in a Finnish population using a questionnaire. They reported a strong association between neck pain and TMDs. Also, it seemed that symptoms of TMD and pain in the TMJs were significantly associated with psychosocial occupational factors rather than the type of occupation [31].

The mechanism explaining the results of the above-mentioned studies may be as follows: Inside the muscles, muscle spindles exist in addition to muscle fibers (extrafusal muscle fibers). The extrafusal muscle fibers are innervated by the alpha (α) motor neurons, while the muscle spindle and intrafusal muscle fibers are innervated by the gamma motor neurons. Muscle spindle receptors play a role in movement control. These receptors send signals to the spine, cerebellum, brain cortex, and other parts of the nervous system for motor control. The responses of muscle spindles can be phasic or tonic. Fast tensions of the muscle spindle result in a phasic response, which leads to the contraction of the respective muscle via the action of the alpha (α) motor neurons. However, in static

positions, stimulation of the secondary receptors occurs more frequently, which results in a tonic response. The secondary receptors are stimulated by static gamma motor neurons. Thus, it can be assumed that in subjects who constantly maintain their facial muscles in a contracted position, muscle spindle receptors are stimulated, resulting in the stimulation of motor centers in the face, neck, and spinal cord, leading to muscle contraction in these areas. In the long term, this process decreases the blood supply and results in muscle spasm and pain [32].

In a study by Soyulu et al [14], 89.3% of the patients had anxiety disorders associated with an increase in muscle tone and pain.

Lim et al [19] have reported that painful and stressful conditions increase the risk of TMDs by four times.

Plesh et al [29] showed that the cumulative effect of stress causes TMJ and muscle pain. The conditions that cause stress are referred to as stressors. Stressors affect the body in different ways. They activate the hypothalamus, which increases the activity of the gamma efferent neurons, resulting in the contraction of the skeletal muscle fibers inside the muscle spindles; following this, the muscle spindle becomes sensitized to the level that even the slightest tension results in muscle contraction. Most stressful activities may result in increased activity of the muscles of mastication [9].

Evidence indicates a higher prevalence of TMDs in females. Some studies have reported that TMDs are 2-3.5 times more common in females than in males [6]. Several reasons have been proposed for the higher prevalence of TMDs among women such as more stressful conditions in women's lives and their sensitivity to problems, resulting in the development of parafunctional habits such as bruxism and clenching [6,7].

In the current study, 320 patients presenting to the Oral Medicine Department of the School of Dentistry of Tehran University of Medical Sciences were evaluated for the presence of TMDs, out of which, 275 were found to have TMDs; of which, 205 (74.5%) were females. This also indicates a higher prevalence of TMDs among females.

The assessment of the scores acquired by males

and females in both questionnaires revealed that elimination of parafunctional habits (if done correctly) can efficiently improve TMDs, irrespective of gender.

It has been shown that parafunctional habit modification attenuates headaches and TMDs [33], which is in agreement with the findings of our study. Okeson [34] believes that parafunctional habits increase the intra-articular pressure, which increases muscle activity and applies a tensile load in an anterior and medial direction to the disc, resulting in thinning of the posterior segment of the disc and elongation of the posterior and inferior ligaments of the disc; disc displacement may eventually occur [34]. Also, during parafunctional movements, muscles remain in spasm for long; this isometric activity prevents adequate blood supply to the muscles and results in increased levels of carbon dioxide and metabolic products adjacent to muscle cells, leading to pain, fatigue, and muscle spasm [34].

Accordingly, we aimed to eliminate parafunctional habits to resolve TMDs and neck pain. It was explained to the patients that in order to resolve maxillofacial pains, parafunctional habits must be eliminated; these habits include clenching, bruxism, unilateral chewing, and gum chewing.

One major advantage of this treatment was its acceptance by the patients since it was free of charge and included no medication intake. It had no side effect and was therefore well accepted by the patients.

Patients of different ages participated in this study. Most TMD patients were in the age range of 20-29 years; thus, it seems that the prevalence of parafunctional habits is higher in this age range. The comparison of age groups yielded $P > 0.05$, which indicated that the treatment and age were not significantly correlated. This finding shows that treatment will be effective in all age groups if performed correctly.

TMDs and neck pain were evaluated before and after the intervention at three time points using the Helkimo's index [25] and the NPDI [26]. At the final follow-up session, in the TMD questionnaire, patient scores decreased from 4 to 1 in the Ai section and from 8 to 1 in the Di section. This indicates that the intervention significantly improved TMDs; this treatment modality was

based on education and self-care of patients and imposed no cost on them.

Considering the importance of the range of jaw movements compared to other symptoms of TMD in chewing, speech, deglutition, etc., this factor was separately evaluated quantitatively. The comparison of the mean values before and after the intervention revealed that the greatest change occurred in the maximum mouth opening. This is due to the fact that elimination of parafunctional habits prevents the contraction of the muscles of mastication and consequently increases the range of mandibular movements. Observing optimal clinical outcomes further motivated the patients to continue the treatment.

In the assessment of neck pain using the questionnaire, the pain score of the patients decreased from 49 to 25; this indicates the significant association of neck pain with parafunctional habits, which is justified by the muscle spindle theory described earlier [32]. By this treatment, non-physiological muscle contractions decreased; consequently, muscle spasm in other areas such as the neck was resolved. Stress is equal to energy. In stressful conditions, the energy generated in the body must be released in some way; thus, it may cause TMDs and even neck pain in some patients, according to the spindle theory described earlier [32].

In this study, we significantly improved TMDs and neck pain through the amendment of parafunctional habits. Also, a significant association was found between the improvement of TMDs and the resolution of neck pain.

Conclusion

It seems that the amendment of parafunctional habits improves TMDs and neck pain.

References

1. Choi YS, Choung PH, Moon HS, Kim SG. Temporomandibular disorders in 19-year-old Korean men. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2002 Jul; 60 (7):797-803.
2. Castelo PM, Gavião MB, Pereira LJ, Bonjardim LR. Relationship between oral parafunctional/nutritive sucking habits and temporomandibular joint dysfunction in primary dentition. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2005 Jan;15(1):29-36.

3. Mackie A, Lyons K. The role of occlusion in temporomandibular disorders--a review of the literature. *N Z Dent J*. 2008 Jun;104(2):54-9.
4. Hodges PW, Richardson CA. Inefficient muscular stabilization of the lumbar spine associated with low back pain. A motor control evaluation of transversus abdominis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1996 Nov 15;21(22):2640-50.
5. Comerford MJ, Mottram SL. Movement and stability dysfunction--contemporary developments. *Man Ther*. 2001 Feb;6(1):15-26.
6. Falla D, O'Leary S, Fagan A, Jull G. Recruitment of the deep cervical flexor muscles during a postural-correction exercise performed in sitting. *Man Ther*. 2007 May;12(2):139-43.
7. Marbach JJ, Raphael KG, Dohrenwend BP, Lennon MC. The validity of tooth grinding measures: etiology of pain dysfunction syndrome revisited. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1990 Mar;120(3):327-33.
8. Widmalm SE, Christiansen RL, Gunn SM, Hawley LM. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of craniomandibular disorders and orofacial parafunction in 4-6-year-old African-American and Caucasian children. *J Oral Rehabil*. 1995 Feb;22(2):87-93.
9. Gavish A, Halachmi M, Winocur E, Gazit E. Oral habits and their association with signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in adolescent girls. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2000 Jan;27(1):22-32.
10. Sari S, Sonmez H. Investigation of the relationship between oral parafunctions and temporomandibular joint dysfunction in Turkish children with mixed and permanent dentition. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2002 Jan;29(1):108-12.
11. Winocur E, Littner D, Adams I, Gavish A. Oral habits and their association with signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in adolescents: a gender comparison. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2006 Oct;102(4):482-7.
12. Poveda Roda R, Bagan JV, Díaz Fernández JM, Hernández Bazán S, Jiménez Soriano Y. Review of temporomandibular joint pathology. Part I: classification, epidemiology and risk factors. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2007 Aug;12(4):E292-8.
13. Alamoudi N. Correlation between oral parafunction and temporomandibular disorders and emotional status among Saudi children. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2001 Fall;26(1):71-80.
14. Soylu N, Alpaslan AH, Ayaz M, Esenyel S, Oruç M. Psychiatric disorders and characteristics of abuse in sexually abused children and adolescents with and without intellectual disabilities. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2013 Dec;34(12):4334-42.
15. Nourallah H, Johansson A. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in a young male Saudi population. *J Oral Rehabil*. 1995 May;22(5):343-7.
16. Zulqarnain BJ, Khan N, Khattab S. Self-reported symptoms of temporomandibular dysfunction in a female university student population in Saudi Arabia. *J Oral Rehabil*. 1998 Dec;25(12):946-53.
17. Esposito CJ, Panucci PJ, Farman AG. Associations in 425 patients having temporomandibular disorders. *J Ky Med Assoc*. 2000 May;98(5):213-5.
18. de Wijer A, de Leeuw JR, Steenks MH, Bosman F. Temporomandibular and cervical spine disorders. Self-reported signs and symptoms. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1996 Jul 15;21(14):1638-46.
19. Lim PF, Smith S, Bhalang K, Slade GD, Maixner W. Development of temporomandibular disorders is associated with greater bodily pain experience. *Clin J Pain*. 2010 Feb;26(2):116-20.
20. Walczyńska-Dragon K, Baron S, Nitecka-Buchta A, Tkacz E. Correlation between TMD and cervical spine pain and mobility: is the whole body balance TMJ related? *Biomed Res Int*. 2014; 2014:582414.
21. Panjabi MM, O'Holleran JD, Crisco JJ 3rd, Kothe R. Complexity of the thoracic spine pedicle anatomy. *Eur Spine J*. 1997;6(1):19-24.
22. Hush JM, Michaleff Z, Maher CG, Refshauge K. Individual, physical and psychological risk factors for neck pain in Australian office workers: a 1-year longitudinal study. *Eur Spine J*. 2009 Oct;18(10):1532-40.
23. Seidenwurm D, Drayer BP, Anderson RE, Braffman B, Davis PC, Deck MD, et al. Myelopathy. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria. *Radiology*. 2000 Jun;215 Suppl:495-505.
24. Hoy DG, Protani M, De R, Buchbinder R. The

- epidemiology of neck pain. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* 2010 Dec;24(6):783-92.
25. Ajanovic M, Bejtovic B. Correlation between values of the Helkimo anamnestic and clinical dysfunction index in patients suffering from post traumatic stress disorder. *Mater Sociomed.* 2009; 21(2):85-91.
26. Wheeler AH, Goolkasian P, Baird AC, Darden BV 2nd. Development of the Neck Pain and Disability Scale. Item analysis, face, and criterion-related validity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1999 Jul 1; 24(13):1290-4.
27. Sönmez H, Sari S, Oksak Oray G, Camdeviren H. Prevalence of temporomandibular dysfunction in Turkish children with mixed and permanent dentition. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2001 Mar;28(3):280-5.
28. Silveira A, Armijo-Olivo S, Gadotti IC, Magee D. Masticatory and cervical muscle tenderness and pain sensitivity in a remote area in subjects with a temporomandibular disorder and neck disability. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache.* 2014 Spring; 28(2): 138-46.
29. Plesh O, Adams SH, Gansky SA. Temporomandibular joint and muscle disorder-type pain and comorbid pains in a national US sample. *J Orofac Pain.* 2011 Summer; 25(3):190-8.
30. Wiesinger B, Malker H, Englund E, Wänman A. Does a dose-response relation exist between spinal pain and temporomandibular disorders? *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2009 Mar 2;10:28.
31. Rantala MA, Ahlberg J, Suvinen TI, Nissinen M, Lindholm H, Savolainen A, et al. Temporomandibular joint related painless symptoms, orofacial pain, neck pain, headache, and psychosocial factors among non-patients. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2003 Aug;61(4):217-22.
32. Hall JE. *Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology.* Philadelphia, PA, USA: Elsevier, 2016:696-9.
33. Agha-Hosseini F, Sheykhbahaei N, Mirzaii-Dizgah I, Fatehi F. The efficacy of oral habit modification on headache. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2017 Dec;43(6):401-406.
34. Okeson JP. Etiology and treatment of occlusal pathosis and associated facial pain. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1981 Feb;45(2):199-204.