Applicability of CBCT as a Substitute for the Gold-Standard Tooth Clearing Technique for Identification of Internal Anatomical Variations of Mandibular Incisors
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Abstract

**Background and Aim:** Knowledge about the root canal system variations is crucial for successful endodontic treatment. This study aimed to examine the applicability of cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) as a substitute for the gold-standard tooth clearing technique in identification of internal anatomical variations of mandibular incisors.

**Materials and Methods:** This in-vitro study evaluated 66 extracted mandibular incisors. The CBCT scans with 0.1 mm voxel size were obtained using NewTom VGO scanner. The number and type of canals, presence/absence of accessory canals, anastomosis, apical delta, and morphology of the root apex were all determined. After clearing and staining of the samples, the parameters were re-evaluated under a stereomicroscope for further examination and comparison with the CBCT data. The agreement of the two methods was evaluated by intra-class correlation and Kappa coefficients for quantitative and qualitative data, respectively.

**Results:** The results of CBCT assessment were comparable with the gold standard. The most common type of root canal anatomy detected in the central and lateral incisors was the Vertucci's type I followed by type III. Most apical foramina were buccally-deviated and centralized in mesiodistal aspect. CBCT assessment was not valid for assessment of the accessory canals in central incisors and for assessment of mesiodistal deviation, while an acceptable agreement was noted for the other parameters.

**Conclusion:** CBCT showed a high accuracy for identification of root canal configuration of mandibular incisors and was comparable with the clearing technique in root canal system assessment; therefore, it can serve as an acceptable substitute for this purpose.
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**Introduction**

A thorough knowledge about the root canal system is crucial for successful endodontic treatment. The main objective of endodontic treatment is complete mechanical and chemical debridement and shaping, and complete obturation of root canals (1, 2). As pre- and intra-operative assessment of the complex three-dimensional
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structure of the root canal system is limited by
two dimensional radiography, knowledge about
the root canal anatomy can help the clinicians in
locating, negotiating, and cleaning the canals
(3).
Despite the simple external morphology of
mandibular incisors, their internal anatomy is
complex. These teeth commonly have one canal
but different studies have reported a various
percentage of two canals; presence of the
second canal has been reported in 11% to 45%
of the incisors (3-7). The Vertucci’s type I is the
most common pattern; however, there is no
agreement on the frequency of other types (4,8-
10). In addition to the number of canals,
another feature of root canal morphology is the
location of apical foramen. It has been reported
that in more than 50% of incisors, the apical
foramen matches the anatomical apex; while,
reports on distribution of apical foramen
deviation are controversial (8, 11-13). The
prevalence of accessory canals and apical delta
has been variable in the literature as well (4,10,
11).
Different techniques have been used for root
canal morphology assessment. Sectioning (14),
canal staining and tooth clearing (15), modified
canal staining and clearing (16), in vitro
endodontic access cavity preparation and
instrumentation (17), in vitro macroscopic
examination (18), in vivo root canal therapy
with magnification (19), conventional
radiographic techniques (20), micro computed
tomography (CT) (21) and cone-beam
computed tomography (CBCT) (13) are
different approaches used in the literature. The
ideal technique is the one that is accurate,
simple, non-invasive and capable of in-vivo
application (22). Tooth staining followed by the
clearing technique and micro-CT assessment of
the internal anatomical pattern have been
considered as the gold standard for tooth
morphology analysis in the literature (16, 21).
The clearing technique is a highly accurate
technique to study the morphological variations
of the extracted teeth (11).
Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) is an
effective tool to explore the root canal system
anatomy (23). CBCT is reported to be as
accurate as modified canal staining and clearing
technique in identifying the root canal anatomy
(16). The advantages of CBCT include producing
three-dimensional images, reducing
superimpositions of extra- and intraoral
structures, and lower radiation dose and costs,
in comparison with the conventional CT (24).
Also, it enables in vivo evaluation of the root
channel anatomy by a non-destructive method
compared with the clearing technique (25).
The aim of this study was to examine the
applicability of CBCT as a substitute for canal
staining and tooth clearing technique (as the
gold standard) for assessment of the root canal
and apical morphology.

Materials and Methods
This in vitro experimental study was conducted
on mandibular incisors extracted due to
periodontal disease within 6 months before the
study onset in dental clinics of Tehran, Iran.
This study was approved by the ethics
committee of Shahid Beheshti University of
Medical Sciences (ethical approval code:
IR.SBMU.RIDS.RES.1394.30) and conducted in
full accordance with the World Medical
Association Declaration of Helsinki.
Intact mature teeth or those with minimum
restoration or caries were included. Dehydrated
brittle teeth due to inappropriate storage
conditions, teeth with root fracture or apical
resorption, teeth with root canal calcification or
previous endodontic treatment were excluded.
Thirty-three mandibular central and 33
mandibular lateral incisors were included. The
teeth were immersed in 1% sodium
hypochlorite solution (Golrang Co., Pakshou,
Tehran, Iran) for 48 h and were then stored in
0.9% saline. After removing the calculus, debris
and tissue residues from the tooth surfaces,
they were placed upside down on a red wax
sheet measuring 5 x 5 cm (Figure 1). The CBCT
scans were obtained using NewTom VGI scanner
(QR SRL, Verona, Italy) with 110 kVp,
high resolution, 6 cm × 6 cm field of view and
0.1 mm voxel size. Image reconstruction was
performed by multiplanar reformatting, cross
and multiplanar features of NewTom NNT
Viewer software version 3.0 (QR SRL, Verona,
Italy). The buccolingual and mesiodistal deviation of the apical foramen from the anatomical apex was assessed in the coronal and sagittal planes, respectively. The number and type of canals (according to the Vertucci's classification) (26), presence of accessory canals, apical delta and anastomoses were determined by assessing serial axial views from the pulp chamber to the apex and also serial sagittal views obtained from the NNT Viewer. The morphology of the root apex was also assessed in the coronal and sagittal planes. The slice interval was 0.5 mm. All CBCT examinations and interpretations were performed by two calibrated researchers (a radiologist and an endodontist). In case of any disagreement, a consultation with another radiologist was done.

Figure 1. Samples prepared for CBCT

To carry out the tooth clearing procedures, an endodontic access cavity was prepared in all samples using ½ round bur (Ecodent, Rosdorf, Germany) with high-speed handpiece (Kavo, Werst, Germany), and complete removal of the pulp chamber roof was ensured by an endodontic explorer. The apical foramen was identified using a #8 K-file (Mani, Tochigi, Japan). The presence or absence of deviation, the distance from the anatomical apex, and the direction of deviation in the buccolingual or mesiodistal dimension were determined under a stereomicroscope (SZX-1LLB200; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at x16 magnification with 0.01 mm accuracy using its respective software (Olysia Zoom 3.2).

The samples were immersed in 1% sodium hypochlorite solution (Golrang Co., Pakshou, Tehran, Iran) for 24 h to facilitate the dissolution of the pulp tissue and the remaining organic debris and then they were rinsed with tap water for 4 h and dried. For demineralization, the teeth were immersed in 5% nitric acid (Shiraz Petrochimie, Shiraz, Iran) for 48-72 h. The solution was refreshed daily and to control complete demineralization, the teeth were examined by an explorer and radiographically (Skydent, NY, USA) compared with the control teeth. Upon completion of the decalcifying process, the teeth were rinsed for another 4 h with tap water, and were dehydrated in different concentrations (70%, 80% and 96%) of ethyl alcohol (Razi, Ahvaz, Iran) for 36 h. Next, clearing of the teeth was performed by their immersion in methyl salicylate (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for 2 h. A 30-gauge needle (SUPA, Tehran, Iran) was used to inject ink (Pelikan, Tehran, Iran) into the root canal system through the access cavity. The injected dye was suctioned with 25 mmHg negative pressure to fill the canals to the apical foramen.

The number and type of canals were identified according to the Vertucci’s classification (26). The presence/absence of accessory canals, anastomosis, apical delta and distance of apical constriction from the apical foramen and anatomical apex were all determined using a stereomicroscope. This procedure was done by an experienced endodontist.

Statistical analysis:
Data were analyzed using SPSS version 22 (SPSS Inc., IL, and USA). Quantitative and qualitative data were analyzed after reporting the mean and frequency values. The differences in quantitative data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and the Kruskal Wallis test, and the differences in qualitative data were analyzed using the Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated for assessment of quantitative data while the kappa coefficient was used for qualitative data to ensure the validity of CBCT results.

Results
The distribution of root canal types based on the Vertucci’s classification as determined by
CBCT and clearing techniques is presented in Table 1. The most common detected root canal anatomy of central and lateral incisors was the Vertucci’s type I followed by type III in both techniques. In CBCT assessment, one of the lateral incisors could not be included in Vertucci’s classification. It was type XVIII according to the classification by Peiris et al (27) (Figure 2). In assessment with the clearing technique, one of the central incisors and one of the lateral incisors could not be included in the Vertucci’s classification. The central incisor was type XVII according to the classification by Sert et al, (11) and the lateral incisor was type XVIII according to the classification by Peiris et al (27) (Figure 3; Table 1).

Table 1 also presents the number of canals, presence of lateral canals, and presence of anastomosis and apical delta as determined by the CBCT and the clearing techniques. One canal was detected in the majority of central incisors (57.6%), while two canals were detected as the dominant finding in lateral incisors (51.1%) by the CBCT and the clearing technique. In CBCT assessment, the frequency of accessory canals, anastomosis and apical delta was 3.0%, 6% and 33.3% in the central and 12%, 18% and 18.8% in the lateral incisors, respectively while in the clearing technique, these values were 12%, 6% and 30.3% for central and 12%, 18% and 24.2% for lateral incisors, respectively.

Table 2 shows the deviation of apical foramen from the anatomical apex. In buccolingual dimension, the central and lateral incisors were mostly buccally-deviated; while in the mesiodistal dimension, they were mostly centralized in both techniques. **Morphology of the root apex:**

Table 3 shows the mean distance from the apical foramen to the anatomical apex, apical constriction to the apical foramen, and apical constriction to the anatomical apex determined by the CBCT and the clearing technique. **Comparison of CBCT and clearing technique:**

The kappa coefficient of agreement between the two methods for canal type was 1 (>0.6) for both central and lateral incisors, which means a total agreement of 100%. The ICC was 1 regarding the number of canals, which expresses the agreement between the two methods and the reliability of CBCT in detecting the canals. The kappa coefficient in detecting the accessory canals in central incisors (0.36) showed the low validity of CBCT; while, it was acceptable in lateral incisors (0.63) (Table 1). The kappa coefficient of 1 and 0.76 in central and lateral incisors for the agreement of the two methods regarding the presence of anastomosis indicated the validity of CBCT; also, both methods were accepted for diagnosis of apical delta considering 0.79 and 0.71 kappa coefficients for central and lateral incisors, respectively (Table 1). CBCT was also acceptable for assessment of the buccolingual
Table 1. Identification of root canal configuration types, number of canals, accessory canals, anastomosis and apical delta by CBCT compared with the clearing technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBCT Technique</th>
<th>Clearing Technique</th>
<th>Agreement*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>19(57.6)</td>
<td>15(45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>13(39.4)</td>
<td>13(39.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>2(6.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Canal type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N(%)</th>
<th>CBCT Technique</th>
<th>Clearing Technique</th>
<th>Agreement*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>1(3.0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV (Sert)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(3.0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVIII (Pieris)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(3.0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td>1(3.0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Canal number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N(%)</th>
<th>CBCT Technique</th>
<th>Clearing Technique</th>
<th>Agreement*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19(57.6)</td>
<td>15(45.5)</td>
<td>19(57.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13(39.4)</td>
<td>17(51.5)</td>
<td>13(39.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1(1.8)</td>
<td>1(1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accessory canals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N(%)</th>
<th>CBCT Technique</th>
<th>Clearing Technique</th>
<th>Agreement*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>32(97)</td>
<td>29(88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>1(3.0)</td>
<td>4(12)</td>
<td>4(12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anastomosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N(%)</th>
<th>CBCT Technique</th>
<th>Clearing Technique</th>
<th>Agreement*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>31(93.9)</td>
<td>27(89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>2(6.1)</td>
<td>6(18)</td>
<td>2(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apical delta

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N(%)</th>
<th>CBCT Technique</th>
<th>Clearing Technique</th>
<th>Agreement*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>22(66.7)</td>
<td>26(81.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>11(33.3)</td>
<td>6(18.8)</td>
<td>10(30.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total N(%) 33(100) 33(100) 33(100) 33(100)

*>0.6 shows acceptable agreement between the two techniques

Table 2. Identification of apical foramen deviation by CBCT compared with the clearing technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBCT Technique</th>
<th>Clearing Technique</th>
<th>Kappa coefficient for agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccolingual deviation</td>
<td>Centralized</td>
<td>6(18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal</td>
<td>26(78.8)</td>
<td>25(75.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingual</td>
<td>1(0.3)</td>
<td>3(9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesiodistal deviation</td>
<td>Centralized</td>
<td>19(57.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial</td>
<td>10(30.3)</td>
<td>13(39.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>4(12.1)</td>
<td>6(18.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total N(%) 33(100) 33(100) 33(100) 33(100)
Table 3. Identification of root apex morphology, apical foramen to anatomical apex distance, apical constriction to apical foramen distance, and apical constriction to anatomical apex distance (mm) by CBCT compared with the clearing technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CBCT Technique</th>
<th>Clearing Technique</th>
<th>95% CI**&lt;br&gt;for ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean (mm)</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apical foramen to anatomical apex distance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apical constriction to apical foramen distance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apical constriction to anatomical apex distance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) used for the assessment of quantitative data to ensure validity of CBCT results in comparison with the gold standard technique. The amounts of ICC>75 show excellent reliability and 40<ICC<75 shows good reliability.

**Confidence Interval

deviation of the apical foramen with kappa coefficients of 0.64 and 0.67 for the central and lateral incisors, respectively; while, in assessing the mesiodistal deviation, CBCT was not valid according to the kappa coefficient of 0.55 for central incisors and 0.56 for lateral incisors (Table 2). The ICC for agreement between the two methods in assessment of the distances from the apical foramen to the anatomical apex, apical constriction to the apical foramen and apical constriction to the anatomical apex was found to be 0.73, 0.85 and 0.79 for central incisors and 0.88, 0.65 and 0.67 for lateral incisors, respectively. The reliability of CBCT was excellent (>75%) for the distance from the apical constriction to the apical foramen and apical constriction to the anatomical apex in central incisors and apical foramen to the anatomical apex in lateral incisors; while, it was good (between 40%-75%) for other parameters (ICC>0.75 shows excellent reliability and 40<ICC<75 expresses good reliability) (Table 3).

Discussion
The knowledge about root canal configurations is a prerequisite for successful endodontic treatment because it helps to achieve an appropriate treatment plan and, consequently, avoids possible technical errors in all phases of treatment (28). CBCT provides high quality, accurate, three-dimensional images and by eliminating the surrounding tissue superimpositions, it provides a nondestructive method for investigation of the root canal anatomy at a lower cost and shorter scanning time in comparison with micro-CT (16, 21, 28). Micro-CT and the clearing technique are generally considered as the gold standard of the root canal morphology analysis (16, 21). Due to high cost, time consuming process of micro-CT, and on the other hand, high accuracy of the clearing and staining technique, the latter was used as the classic gold standard in this study to assess the validity of CBCT results (29). However, one study declared that micro-CT was significantly more accurate in detection of root
canal morphology of mandibular mesial roots than both CBCT and the clearing technique (25). In the present study, lateral incisors showed the highest variations in root canal types based on the results of both techniques. The prevalence of the Vertucci’s type I in lateral incisors which is reportedly 36% (29) to 88% (5) in the literature was found to be 45% in both techniques in this study. The frequency of the Vertucci’s type III was 39%, which was higher than the reported values in the literature ranging from 0% (5) to 26% (29). Based on the results of both techniques, the most common canal type in central incisors was the Vertucci’s type I (57%), which was consistent with the prevalence rates reported in the literature [32.5% (29) to 91% (30)]; while, this value was lower in comparison with the studies conducted in Iran [64% (31) to 88% (32)] (31-33). The prevalence of the Vertucci’s type III, as the second most prevalent type in central incisors, was 39% in both techniques, which was higher than the reported values in studies on the Iranian population [1.5% (34) to 16% (31)] (31, 32, 34). This can be attributed to ethnic and genetic differences, age of patients, patterns of occlusion, chronic stimulations, history of trauma, different methodologies and in vitro or in vivo design of the studies. These factors also clarify the difference between the results of this study and other studies in other fields. According to the total agreement of 100% between CBCT and the clearing technique, CBCT was as accurate as the gold standard in identifying root canal configurations.

Based on the results of both methods used in this study, 57% of central incisors and 45% of lateral incisors had one canal and 39% of central incisors and 51% of lateral incisors had two canals and a few of them had three canals. The consistency of the results of CBCT with the clearing technique (ICC=1) indicates that CBCT was efficient to evaluate this variable.

Using CBCT, the prevalence of accessory canals was 3% and 12% in central and lateral incisors, respectively. This value was found to be 12% in both central and lateral incisors by the clearing technique. The results were in harmony with the results of Boruah and Bhuyan (35) and Sert and Bayirli (29) and lower in comparison with the results of Vertucci (9), Kartal and Yanikoglu (4) and Sadr Lahijani and Sadegh (32). According to the kappa coefficient, CBCT was only reliable to evaluate the presence of accessory canals in lateral incisors.

The prevalence of anastomosis according to the results obtained from CBCT assessment was 3.4% in central incisors and 15.4% in lateral incisors, which indicated significantly higher prevalence of anastomosis in lateral incisors. The clearing technique showed that anastomosis was more prevalent in lateral incisors, even though it was not statistically significant. Data obtained from the present study in this respect were lower than those reported by Sert and Bayirli (29), Boruah and Bhuyan (35), and Al-Qudah and Awadheh (10). According to the results, CBCT could be used as a substitute for the clearing technique for detection of anastomosis in mandibular incisors.

As proven by both the CBCT and the clearing technique, apical delta was more prevalent in central incisors, even though the difference was not statistically significant. The prevalence of apical delta was 33.3% and 30.3% in central and 18.8% and 24.2% in lateral incisors according to the CBCT and the clearing technique, respectively. The prevalence of apical delta in this study was similar to that reported by Sert and Bayirli (29) (29% in central incisors and 19% in lateral incisors) and was higher when compared with the study by Vertucci (9) (5% in central incisors and 6% in lateral incisors) or Boruah and Bhuyan (35) (7.5%). The results indicated that CBCT was efficient for assessment of this variable. The apical foramen in central and lateral incisors was most commonly buccally-deviated and had no deviation in fewer samples based on both the CBCT and the clearing technique. The prevalence of lingual deviation was the lowest. The highest frequency of buccal deviation was similar to the results of Blaskovic-Subat et al, (36) and Burch and Hulen (37); while Martos et al. (12) reported that the central position was the most common position. The result of CBCT was in harmony with the clearing technique;
thus, CBCT is a reliable technique for detection of apical foramen deviation from the anatomical apex.

The CBCT was validated for assessing the mesiodistal deviation of apical foramen from the anatomical apex in lateral incisors, while it was not reliable in central incisors. The narrow mesiodistal dimension of the root, especially in the apical region, may cause an error in detection of apical foramen orientation. Deviation of apical foramen cannot be detected on two-dimensional radiographs taken during endodontic treatment or in case of presence of deviation in the buccolingual direction. Correct determination of working length cannot be done merely based on measuring the distance from the file tip to the radiographic apex. Electronic apex locators can be helpful in such cases.

The distance from the apical foramen to the anatomical apex was 0.52 mm in central incisors, and 0.45 mm in lateral incisors, based on both techniques used in this study, which was consistent with the results of Martos et al, (12) and Dummer et al, (8) who reported 0.32 mm and 0.36 mm values for mandibular incisors, respectively. The clearing technique and CBCT were in agreement for the assessment of the distance from the apical foramen to the anatomical apex, and CBCT could be used as a reliable measure for this variable.

The distance from the apical constriction to the apical foramen was 0.53 mm in CBCT assessment and 0.67 mm in the clearing technique (mean = 0.6 mm). Cietterio et al. (38) reported the mean distance of 0.72 mm for all teeth and Kuttler (39) reported 0.5-1.5 mm. The difference of the methods was not statistically significant in this respect; therefore, CBCT could be used as an alternative to the gold standard for this measurement.

The distance between the apical constriction and anatomical apex was 0.8-0.94 mm in CBCT assessment and 0.7-0.94 mm in the clearing technique (mean = 0.9). Dummer et al. (8) reported 0.95 mm for incisors using the sectioning technique, which was similar to this study. The ICC revealed a consistency between CBCT and the clearing technique; thus, CBCT was accurate for measurement of this distance. The main reasons for the differences in the results of studies are morphological variations in the root canal anatomy due to ethnicity and genetic differences, age of patients, occlusion patterns, chronic stimulations, history of trauma, different methodologies and in vitro or in vivo design of the studies. Similar studies on different age groups are recommended. Also, different races and ethnic groups can be compared in future studies to better elucidate the effect of race and ethnicity in this respect.

Conclusion
In conclusion, CBCT showed high applicability for root canal configuration identification in mandibular incisors as an alternative to the complicated clearing technique for root canal system assessment except for the identification of accessory canals and mesiodistal deviation of the apical foramen in mandibular central incisors.
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